

Nanocatalysis

Deutsche Ausgabe: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201507902 Internationale Ausgabe: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201507902

Salt-Free Reduction of Nonprecious Transition-Metal Compounds: Generation of Amorphous Ni Nanoparticles for Catalytic C-C Bond **Formation**

Taiga Yurino, Yohei Ueda, Yoshiki Shimizu, Shinji Tanaka, Haruka Nishiyama, Hayato Tsurugi, Kazuhiko Sato,* and Kazushi Mashima*

Abstract: A salt-free procedure for the generation of a wide variety of metal(0) particles, including Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu, was achieved using 2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-1,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,4diaza-2,5-cyclohexadiene (1), which reduced the corresponding metal precursors under mild conditions. Notably, Ni particles formed in situ from the treatment of Ni(acac)₂ (acac = acetylacetonate) with 1 in toluene exhibited significant catalytic activity for reductive C-C bond-forming reactions of aryl halides in the presence of excess amounts of 1. By examination of high-magnification transmission electron microscopy images and electron diffraction patterns, we concluded that amorphous Ni nanoparticles (Ni aNPs) were essential for the high catalytic activity.

Nanoparticles of transition metals are unique and versatile catalysts that have attracted particular interest because they show boundary and bilateral character between homo- and heterogeneous catalysts.^[1,2] Nanocatalysts have a relatively wide reactive surface and a controlled nanoscale size and shape. In general, crystalline nanoparticles (cNPs) of noble metals, such as Pd and Au, exhibit high catalytic performances in several C-C bond-forming reactions.^[3-8] The development of an approach to replace catalytic systems of noble metals with nonprecious metals such as Ni, Co, and Cu is currently in high demand. Although several cNPs of these nonprecious metals have been prepared, they exhibited poor catalytic activity toward C-C bond-forming reactions. [9-12] The relatively poor catalytic performance of these nonprecious transition-metal nanocatalysts is due mainly to their larger particle size and smaller reactive surface, as well as their sensitivity to oxidation damage. Herein, we report that 2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-1,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,4-diaza-2,5-cyclohexadiene (1)[13] reduced halides, carboxylates, and acetylacetonates (acac) of some nonprecious metals to produce the corresponding metallic particles under mild conditions. Additionally, amorphous Ni⁰ nanoparticles (Ni aNPs), derived from [Ni(acac)₂] and 1, were effective catalysts for reductive C-C bond-forming reactions.

We began by examining the potential of 1 to act as a reductant for some typical nonprecious transition metals along with typical noble transition metals (Table 1). The

 Table 1:
 Reduction of transition-metal chlorides by organosilicon
 reductant 1.[a]

Entry	Metal chloride	Conv. of 1 [%] ^[b]	Product
1	CuCl ₂ (thf) _{0.8}	50	Cu ⁰ particles
2	$FeCl_2(thf)_2$	50	Fe ⁰ particles
3	$CoCl_2(thf)_{1.5}$	50	Co ⁰ particles
4	NiCl ₂	50	Ni ⁰ particles
5	PdCl ₂	50	Pd ⁰ particles
6	PtCl ₂	50	Pt ⁰ particles
7	$CrCl_3(thf)_3$	25	$CrCl_2(thf)_2$
8	$MnCl_2(thf)_2$	0	No reaction

[a] Conditions: metal chloride (0.050 mmol), 1 (0.10 mmol) in [D₈]THF (0.50 mL) at room temperature. [b] Determined by ¹H NMR measurement of the ratio between 2,3,5,6-tetramethylpyrazine (TMP), Me₃SiCl, and 1. For further application to other metal halides, see Table S1 in the Supporting Information.

[*] Dr. T. Yurino, Y. Ueda, H. Nishiyama, Prof. Dr. H. Tsurugi, Prof. Dr. K. Mashima

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering Science Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka (Japan)

E-mail: mashima@chem.es.osaka-u.ac.jp

Nanomaterials Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST)

Central 5, Tsukuba, Ibaraki (Japan)

Dr. S. Tanaka, Prof. Dr. K. Sato

Interdisciplinary Research Center for Catalytic Chemistry National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Central 5, Tsukuba, Ibaraki (Japan)

E-mail: k.sato@aist.go.jp

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201507902.

addition of 1 (2 equiv) to a yellow suspension of CuCl₂ in [D₈]THF at room temperature induced a rapid reaction forming a reddish-brown dispersion of small Cu particles, which gradually precipitated completely over 24 h. Based on NMR spectroscopy of the filtrate, 1 equiv of 1 had been consumed to give 2,3,5,6-tetramethylpyrazine (TMP; 1 equiv) together with Me₃SiCl (2 equiv). These results indicated that the 2-electron reduction of CuCl₂ had generated Cu⁰ particles, even though CuCl2 was barely dissolved in the solvent (Table 1, entry 1).^[14] We also successfully employed 1 as a reagent in the reduction of FeCl₂, CoCl₂, and NiCl₂ to form the corresponding ferromagnetic Fe, Co, and Ni black powders (entries 2–4).^[15] Some noble metal salts, such as



PdCl₂ and PtCl₂, were also reduced to give metallic Pd and Pt particles, respectively (entries 5 and 6), although a longer reaction time was required. CrCl₃(thf)₃ reacted with 0.5 equiv of 1 to generate green mixture containing CrCl₂(thf)₂ (entry 7), whereas MnCl₂(thf)₂ was inert due to its highly negative redox potential (entry 8).

As compound 1 could be employed in the salt-free reduction of some late-transition-metal chlorides to form the corresponding metal particles, we next examined the catalytic activities of the metal particles in Ullmann coupling reactions of 4-iodoanisole (see the Supporting Information, Table S3), which was recently applied to noble metal nanoparticle catalysts. [8,16] Upon combination with a slight excess of 1 (1.5 equiv), NiCl₂ and CoCl₂(thf)_{1.5} in C₆D₆ at 80 °C showed strong catalytic activities, giving 4,4'-dimethoxybiphenyl in 68% and 92% yields, respectively. In sharp contrast, Pd⁰ and Pt⁰ particles generated in situ did not exhibit catalytic activity in Ullmann coupling reactions (22% and 0% yields, respectively), although they were superior to nonprecious catalysts for activating C(sp²)–I bonds. The other metal particles listed in Table 1 did not catalyze biaryl formation. Further screening of Ni(acac)₂ and Co(acac)₂ led us to determine that Ni(acac)₂ and 1 was the best catalytic system for the homocoupling reaction of 4-iodoanisole.

The optimized conditions established for the homocoupling of 4-iodoanisole using Ni catalysts were also applicable to 4-bromoanisole, converting it into 4,4'-dimethoxybiphenyl in 96% yield (Table 2, entry 1). The catalyst loading could be decreased to 1 mol % and the reaction afforded the product in 94% yield (entry 2). Addition of TMP, a product that formed from 1, showed no accelerating effect on the reaction to improve the yield of the product (entries 3 versus 4). This result indicated that TMP did not act as a ligand for the Ni species, although N-heteroaromatic compounds, such as pyridine and 2,2'-bipyridine, were reported to work as ligands for some Ni-catalyzed reactions.^[17] In fact, Ni nanoparticles, which had been generated before the homocoupling reaction

Table 2: Catalytic performances of different Ni sources for the Ullmann coupling reaction.

Entry	Ni source (mol%)	Yield (%) ^[a]
1	Ni(acac) ₂ (5)	96
2	Ni(acac) ₂ (1)	94
3 ^[b]	Ni(acac) ₂ (5)	34
4 ^[b,c]	Ni(acac) ₂ (5)	27
5	Ni particles from Ni(acac) ₂ /1 (5)	94
6	Ni particles from NiCl ₂ /Li/DTBB ^[d] (5) ^[f]	0
7	Ni particles from Ni(acac) ₂ /NaBH ₄ (5) ^[f]	0
8	Ni particles from Ni(cod) ₂ /H ₂ (3 atm) (5)	0
9 ^[e]	Ni particles from Ni(acac) ₂ /1 (5)	trace

[a] Yield of isolated product. [b] Reaction time was 9 h. [c] TMP (10 mol%) was added as additive. [d] DTBB=4,4'-di-tert-butylbiphenyl. [e] NaBH₄ (1.25 equiv) was used as reductant instead of 1. [f] In THF, instead of toluene.

from Ni(acac)₂/1 in toluene at 80°C, exhibited almost the same catalytic activity in this reaction (entry 5). To our surprise, no catalytic activity was observed for three different sets of Ni nanoparticles generated from known procedures using NiCl₂/Li/4,4'-di-tert-butylbiphenyl, Ni(acac)₂/NaBH₄, and Ni(cod)₂/H₂, all of which were typical experimental conditions used for the preparation of crystalline Ni nanoparticles (Ni cNPs; entries 6-8).[18,19] Additionally, when NaBH₄ was employed as a reductant in place of 1 (Table 2, entry 9), it was found that the reaction was unsuccessful (using Ni NPs generated by Ni(acac)₂/1), indicating that 1 was a superior reductant to NaBH4 in this biaryl formation reaction.

To gain insight into the catalytically active Ni species, we characterized the Ni species generated in situ in the absence of any substrate and ligand by transmission electron microscopy (Figure 1). The observed image clearly showed the




Figure 1. a) High-magnification TEM image and b) corresponding transmission electron diffraction (TED) pattern of Ni aNPs generated from Ni(acac)₂/1 (entry 5 in Table 2). c) High-magnification TEM image and d) corresponding TED pattern of Ni cNPs generated from NiCl₂/Li/4,4'-di-tert-butylbiphenyl (entry 6 in Table 2). Scale bars in TEM images (bottom right) = 10 nm.

formation of Ni particles with an approximate size of 15 nm (Figure 1a), and the corresponding electron diffraction pattern appeared as a halo, suggesting that the nanoparticles were amorphous in nature (aNPs; Figure 1b).^[20] The crystallinity and size of these Ni aNPs remained intact after the biaryl formation reaction (Figure S2). On the other hand, a clear electron diffraction ring pattern was obtained for Ni particles with an approximate size of 8 nm derived from NiCl₂/Li/4,4'-di-tert-butylbiphenyl, which were isolated as Ni cNPs (Figure 1 c and d). When NaBH₄ was used as reductant, Ni NPs approximately 9 nm in size with low crystallinity were generated (Figure S2). These results provided the first evidence that the catalytic activity of Ni NPs for reductive biaryl formation depended on the crystallinity of the Ni NPs, although it has been reported that Pd aNPs exhibit higher activity for Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reactions than the corresponding cNPs. [21]

We further characterized the Ni aNPs by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; Figure S3). In the XPS spectrum, a major sharp peak for Ni 2p_{3/2} (852.5 eV) was detected (and assigned to Ni⁰) with a relatively weak peak for Ni 2p_{3/2} (854.7 eV; attributed to NiO). In contrast, the XPS spectrum of Ni cNPs generated from NiCl₂/Li/DTBB displayed one



sharp peak for Ni 2p_{3/2} (852.5 eV) for Ni⁰. These experiments revealed that the catalytically active Ni aNPs contained not only Ni⁰ but also NiO, resulting from partial oxidation of the surfaces of the Ni aNPs or partial decomposition of the $Ni(acac)_2$ -derived species during the formation of the *aNPs*. We also performed XPS analysis of the Ni aNPs collected from the reaction mixture after the Ullmann coupling reaction. Similar to the spectrum recorded for the Ni aNPs before the catalytic reaction, two peaks were detected, one for Ni⁰ (852.5 eV) and one for NiO (854.7 eV), corresponding to the Ni $2p_{3/2}$ levels. The relative quantity of NiO in the Ni aNPs increased after the Ullmann coupling reaction. It was also found that the Ni aNPs exhibited similar catalytic activity for the Ullmann coupling reaction after exposing the Ni aNPs to air, suggesting that the catalytically active Ni⁰ species was generated in the presence of excess 1 in the reaction mixture (see below).

The different catalytic activities of Ni aNPs and cNPs were presumably because of differences in the rates of leaching of Ni⁰ at the molecular level from the NPs. Ni⁰ species released from the aNPs reacted immediately with 4-bromoanisole to produce the Ullmann coupling product. The amorphous nature of the NPs was maintained during the catalytic reaction (Figure S2), meaning that the high catalytic activity of the nanoparticles was maintained. When 4-chloroanisole was added as a substrate for the Ni aNPs, no Ullmann coupling product was observed. However, in the presence of 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine (dtbpy), C-Cl bond cleavage proceeded to form the coupled product in 96% yield, indicating that oxidative addition of 4-chloroanisole to (dtbpy)Ni⁰ generated in situ proceeded to give the biaryl product. In sharp contrast, Ni cNPs which were stable against leaching of Ni⁰ species were not active for the Ullmann coupling of 4-chloroanisole under the reaction conditions employed (toluene, 80 °C), even in the presence of dtbpy. The advantages of aNPs over cNPs in terms of their catalytic application was also reported for a catalyst based on Pd NPs; Liu and Hu found that changing the morphology of the Pd NPs from amorphous to crystalline led to a corresponding decrease in their catalytic activity.^[21] During this re-ordering process, a Pd⁰ species was found to leach from the aNPs giving rise to the high catalytic activity of the Pd aNPs.[21]

Based on the above-mentioned results, we proposed the following reaction mechanism (Figure 2). Ni aNPs were initially generated in situ from Ni(acac)₂ and 1. The Ni⁰ species which leached from the Ni aNPs were oxidized by the aryl halide to generate NiX(Ar), which was converted into Ni⁰, NiX₂, and the Ullmann coupling product. [22] In the presence of reductant 1, NiX₂ was reduced to regenerate Ni⁰. During this process, Ni aNPs acted as a Ni⁰ reservoir for the release of Ni⁰ into the reaction mixture, a strategy proposed by Dupont and de Vries based on their seminal study of C-C bond formation catalyzed by nanoparticles. [23,25] When $[NiO]_n$ was released from the aNPs, the Ni^{II} species might be reduced by 1 to afford Ni⁰. In relation to the reaction shown in Scheme 1, the released Ni^o species was coordinated by dtbpy to form [(dtbpy)Ni⁰] which subsequently activated the C-Cl bond of 4-chloroanisole.




Figure 2. Possible reaction mechanism for reductive biaryl formation catalyzed by Ni *a*NPs.

Scheme 1. Ullmann coupling reaction of 4-chloroanisole in the presence of Ni aNPs with or without the coligand dtbpy. N.R. = no reaction.

With the Ni(acac)₂/1 catalytic system in hand, we examined the scope of aryl halides which could be employed in catalytic Ullmann coupling reactions (summarized in Scheme 2). A wide variety of aryl halides was applicable for the dimerization reaction, and both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups were tolerated. Notably, the C-Br bond of 4-chlorobromobenzene was selectively cleaved to give 4,4'-dichlorobiphenyl in 90% yield. Although having a substituent at the ortho position hampered the reaction, both meta- and para-substituted arythalides could be applied as substrates. 2-Bromonaphthalene and heteroaromatic compounds, such as 2-bromopyridine and 2-bromothiophene, were also good substrates, with the reactions proceeding smoothly to afford the corresponding biaryls. When βbromostyrene was used as a substrate, the EE/EZ mixture of the dimer diene (9/1) was obtained without incorporation of any Heck reaction product.

The inertness of 1 toward the carbonyl functionality led us to apply our catalytic system to a reductive arylation of arylaldehydes (Scheme 3). We examined the reaction between 4-bromoanisole and methyl terephthalaldehyde to produce the corresponding silylated diaryl methanol derivative in quantitative yield. The more sterically hindered 3-methylbromobenzene could also be successfully applied in this reaction. Both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing aryl aldehydes were well tolerated in this reductive arylation, and target compounds were obtained in moderate to high yield. Accordingly, 1 worked as a concomitant reducing reagent for the Ni aNP catalyst without direct reduction of the aldehyde.



Scheme 2. Substrate scope for catalytic Ullmann coupling reactions. [a] An E/Z (93/7) mixture of β -bromostyrene was used as substrate. [b] Calculated from 1H NMR spectroscopic analysis.

Scheme 3. The reductive arylation of arylaldehydes catalyzed by Ni aNPs.

In conclusion, we have developed a new strategy for using the organosilicon reducing reagent 1 to generate catalytically active metal(0) nanoparticles under homogeneous conditions. The most notable finding was that the amorphous nature of Ni NPs was responsible for the high catalytic activity in the Ullmann coupling reaction and the reductive arylation of arylaldehyde. Additionally, as a result of the inertness of 1 towards organic substrates, such as aryl halides and aldehydes, 1 could be applied as a concomitant reductant in catalytic C–C bond-forming reactions between these substrates. We also found that main-group metal chlorides, such as GaCl₃ and InCl₃, were reduced upon treatment with the reductant 1 to form the corresponding metal particles, further demonstrating the potential of the reductant.

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Dr. Ken-ichi Fukui, Prof. Dr. Akihito Imanishi, and Mr. Shohei Kusumoto for performing X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology (CREST) Program of the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Japan. H.N. is grateful for financial support from the JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists. H.T. acknowledges MEXT, Japan for financial support through a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A).

Keywords: C–C coupling \cdot nanoparticles \cdot nickel \cdot organosilicon compounds \cdot reductive coupling

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2015**, 54, 14437–14441 Angew. Chem. **2015**, 127, 14645–14649

- Nanocatalysis Synthesis and Applications (Eds.: V. Polshettiwar, T. Asefa), Wiley, Hoboken, 2013.
- [2] a) Z.-C. Zhang, B. Xu, X. Wang, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 7870;
 b) L. L. Chng, N. Erathodiyil, J. Y. Ying, Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 1825;
 c) G. A. Somorjai, Y. Li, Top. Catal. 2010, 53, 832;
 d) G. A. Somorjai, F. Tao, J. Y. Park, Top. Catal. 2008, 47, 1;
 e) D. Astruc, F. Lu, J. R. Aranzaes, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7852;
 Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 8062;
 f) A. T. Bell, Science 2003, 299, 1688;
 g) N. Toshima, Y. Shiraishi, T. Teranishi, M. Miyake, T. Tominaga, H. Watanabe, W. Brijoux, H. Bonnemann, G. Schmid, Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 15, 178.
- [3] a) C. Deraedt, D. Astruc, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 494; b) M. Pérez-Lorenzo, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2012, 3, 167; c) A. Fihri, M. Bouhrara, B. Nekoueishahraki, J.-M. Basset, V. Polshettiwar, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5181; d) A. Balanta, C. Godard, C. Claver, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4973.
- [4] For selected recent examples, see: a) S. Sarina, H. Zhu, E. Jaatinen, Q. Xiao, H. Liu, J. Jia, C. Chen, J. Zhao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5793; b) G. Li, C. Liu, Y. Lei, R. Jin, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 12005; c) H. M. Song, B. A. Moosa, N. M. Khashab, J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 15953; d) P.-P. Fang, A. Jutand, Z.-Q. Tian, C. Amatore, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 12184; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 12392; e) S. K. Beaumont, G. Kyriakou, R. M. Lambert, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12246.
- [5] C.-J. Jia, F. Schüth, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2011, 13, 2457.
- [6] a) A. Khalafi-Nezhad, F. Panahi, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.
 2014, 2, 1177; b) P. M. Uberman, L. A. Perez, G. I. Lacconi, S. E. Martin, J. Mol. Catal. A 2012, 363-364, 245; c) R. Narayanan, M. A. El-Sayed, J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 8672; d) Y. Li, E. Boone, M. A. El-Sayed, Langmuir 2002, 18, 4921; e) Y. Li, M. A. El-Sayed, J. Phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 8938; f) J. Le Bars, U. Specht, J. S. Bradley, D. G. Blackmond, Langmuir 1999, 15, 7621.
- [7] R. Narayanan, M. A. El-Sayed, J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 12663.
- [8] W. Yao, W.-J. Gong, H.-X. Li, F.-L. Li, J. Gao, J.-P. Lang, *Dalton Trans.* 2014, 43, 15752.
- [9] a) W. Xu, H. Sun, B. Yu, G. Zhang, W. Zhang, Z. Gao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 20261; b) K. Seth, P. Purohit, A. K. Chakraborti, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2334; c) L. Durán Pachón, M. B. Thathagar, F. Hartl, G. Rothenberg, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 151.
- [10] a) S. Tasler, B. H. Lipshutz, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 1190; b) B. H. Lipshutz, J. A. Sclafani, P. A. Blomgren, Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 2139; c) B. H. Lipshutz, P. A. Blomgren, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5819; d) B. H. Lipshutz, T. Tomioka, P. A. Blomgren, J. Sclafani, Inorg. Chim. Acta 1999, 296, 164.



- [11] J.-F. Soulé, H. Miyamura, S. Kobayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10602.
- [12] J. H. Kim, Y. K. Chung, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 11101.
- [13] T. Saito, H. Nishiyama, T. Tanahashi, K. Kawakita, H. Tsurugi, K. Mashima, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5161.
- [14] See Figure S1 for a ¹H NMR tube scale experiment of the reduction of CuCl₂ with **1**. See also Table S2 for the structural effect of the organosilicon reductants.
- [15] See the Supporting Information for the reduction of other Cu^{II} and Ni^{II} complexes.
- [16] For recent examples of Ullmann coupling reactions by nanocatalysts, see: a) X. Wu, L. Tan, D. Chen, X. Meng, F. Tang, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 539; b) R. N. Dhital, C. Kamonsatikul, E. Somsook, K. Bobuatong, M. Ehara, S. Karanjit, H. Sakurai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 20250; c) B. Karimi, F. K. Esfahani, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 10452.
- [17] For recent examples, see: a) A. Noble, S. J. McCarver, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 624; b) D. Myśliwiec, M. Kondratowicz, T. Lis, P. J. Chmielewski, M. Stępień, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 1643; c) L. K. G. Ackerman, L. L. Anka-Lufford, M. Naodovic, D. J. Weix, Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 1115.
- [18] a) F. Alonso, J. J. Calvino, I. Osante, M. Yus, *Chem. Lett.* 2005, 34, 1262; b) Y. Hou, H. Kondoh, T. Ohta, S. Gao, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2005, 241, 218.
- [19] N. Cordente, C. Amiens, B. Chaudret, M. Respaud, F. Senocq, M.-J. Casanove, J. Appl. Phys. 2003, 94, 6358.
- [20] J. Park, E. Kang, S. U. Son, H. M. Park, M. K. Lee, J. Kim, K. W. Kim, H. J. Noh, J. H. Park, C. J. Bae, J. G. Park, T. Hyeon, Adv. Mater. 2005, 17, 429.

- [21] J. Hu, Y. B. Liu, Langmuir 2005, 21, 2121.
- [22] a) J. Hassan, M. Sévignon, C. Gozzi, E. Schulz, M. Lemaire, Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1359; b) B. M. Rosen, K. W. Quasdorf, D. A. Wilson, N. Zhang, A.-M. Resmerita, N. K. Garg, V. Persec, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1346; c) V. Courtois, R. Barhdadi, M. Troupel, J. Perichon, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 11569; d) A. Klein, Y. H. Budnikova, O. G. Sinyashin, J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 3156.
- [23] a) J. Dupont, J. D. Scholten, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, *39*, 1780;
 b) C. C. Cassol, A. P. Umpierre, G. Machado, S. I. Wolke, J. Dupont, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 3298.
- [24] a) A. H. M. de Vries, J. M. C. A. Mulders, J. H. M. Mommers,
 H. J. W. Henderickx, J. G. de Vries, *Org. Lett.* 2003, 5, 3285;
 b) M. T. Reetz, J. G. de Vries, *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 1559.
- [25] a) N. T. S. Phan, M. V. D. Sluys, C. W. Jones, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 609; b) M. B. Thathagar, P. J. Kooyman, R. Boerleider, E. Jansen, C. J. Elsevier, G. Rothenberg, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 1965; c) M. B. Thathagar, J. E. ten Elshof, G. Rothenberg, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2886; Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 2952.
- [26] a) A. Fürstner, N. Shi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12349;
 b) K. K. Majumdar, C.-H. Cheng, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2295.

Received: August 24, 2015

Published online: September 30, 2015